

DEVELOPMENT AND HUMAN RIGHTS

● **César Rodríguez Garavito,
Juana Kweitel and Laura Trajber Waisbich**
Development and Human Rights:
Some Ideas on How to Restart the Debate

● **Irene Biglino, Christophe Golay and Ivona Truscan**
The Contribution of the UN Special Procedures
to the Human Rights and Development Dialogue

● **Luis Carlos Buob Concha**
The Right to Water: Understanding its Economic, Social and Cultural
Components as Development Factors for Indigenous Communities

● **Andrea Schettini**
Toward a New Paradigm of Human Rights Protection for
Indigenous Peoples: A Critical Analysis of the Parameters Established
by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

● **Serges Alain Djoyou Kanga and Siyambonga Heleba**
Can Economic Growth Translate into Access to Rights?
Challenges Faced by Institutions in South Africa in Ensuring
that Growth Leads to Better Living Standards

● **Interview with Sheldon Leader**
Transnational Corporations and Human Rights

● **Aline Albuquerque and Dabney Evans**
Right to Health in Brazil: A Study of the Treaty-Reporting System

● **Linda Darkwa and Philip Attuquayefio**
Killing to Protect? Land Guards, State Subordination
and Human Rights in Ghana

● **Cristina Rădoi**
The Ineffective Response of International Organisations Concerning
the Militarization of Women's Lives

● **Carla Dantas**
Right of Petition by Individuals within the Global Human Rights
Protection System



EDITORIAL BOARD

- Christof Heyns** University of Pretoria (South Africa)
Emilio García Méndez University of Buenos Aires (Argentina)
Fifi Benaboud North-South Centre of the Council of Europe (Portugal)
Fiona Macaulay Bradford University (United Kingdom)
Flávia Piovesan Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)
J. Paul Martin Columbia University (United States)
Kwame Karikari University of Ghana (Ghana)
Mustapha Kamel Al-Sayyid Cairo University (Egypt)
Roberto Garretón Former-UN Officer of the High Commissioner for Human Rights (Chile)
Upendra Baxi University of Warwick (United Kingdom)

EDITORS

Pedro Paulo Poppovic
Oscar Vilhena Vieira

EXECUTIVE BOARD

Maria Brant - Executive Editor
Albertina de Oliveira Costa
Flávia Annenberg
Glenda Mezarobba
Hélio Batista Barboza
Juana Kweitel
Laura Waisbich
Lucia Nader

EDITING

Luz González
Francisca Evrard

EXTERNAL REVIEWERS

Andrew Charman, Arilson Favareto, Beatriz Galli, Carolina Fairstein, Christopher Mbazira, Eduardo Pannunzio, Eloisa Machado, Fernanda Doz Costa, Fernando Dantas, Marcel Gomes, Julieta Lemaitre Ripoll, Mauricio Santoro, Pablo Ceriani, José Rodrigo Rodríguez, Catherine Boone, Vinodh Jaichand, Vivian Calderoni

REVISION OF TRANSLATIONS

Carolina Fairstein (Spanish)
Renato Barreto (Portuguese)
The Bernard and Audre Rapoport
Center for Human Rights and Justice,
University of Texas, Austin (English)

GRAPHIC DESIGN

Oz Design

ART EDITING

Alex Furini

CIRCULATION

Luz González

PRINTING

Prol Editora Gráfica Ltda.

ADVISORY BOARD

- Alejandro M. Garro** Columbia University (United States)
Bernardo Sorj Federal University of Rio de Janeiro / Edelstein Center (Brazil)
Bertrand Badie Sciences-Po (France)
Cosmas Gitta UNDP (United States)
Daniel Mato CONICET / National University of Tres de Febrero (Argentina)
Daniela Ikawa International Network on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights / Columbia University (United States)
Ellen Chapnick Columbia University (United States)
Ernesto Garzon Valdes University of Mainz (Germany)
Fateh Azzam Arab Human Rights Fund (Lebanon)
Guy Haarscher Université Libre de Bruxelles (Belgium)
Jeremy Sarkin University of the Western Cape (South Africa)
João Batista Costa Saraiva Regional Jurisdiction for Children and Adolescents of Santo Ângelo/RS (Brazil)
José Reinaldo de Lima Lopes University of São Paulo (Brazil)
Juan Amaya Castro University for Peace (Costa Rica)/VU University Amsterdam (Netherlands)
Lucia Dammert Global Consortium on Security Transformation (Chile)
Luigi Ferrajoli University of Rome (Italy)
Luiz Eduardo Wanderley Pontifical Catholic University of São Paulo (Brazil)
Malak El-Chichini Poppovic Conectas Human Rights (Brazil)
Maria Filomena Gregori University of Campinas (Brazil)
Maria Hermínia Tavares de Almeida University of São Paulo (Brazil)
Miguel Cillero University Diego Portales (Chile)
Mudar Kassis Birzeit University (Palestine)
Paul Chevigny New York University (United States)
Philip Alston New York University (United States)
Roberto Cuéllar M. Inter-American Institute of Human Rights (Costa Rica)
Roger Raupp Rios Federal University of Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)
Shepard Forman New York University (United States)
Victor Abramovich University of Buenos Aires (UBA)
Victor Topanou National University of Benin (Benin)
Vinodh Jaichand Irish Centre for Human Rights, National University of Ireland (Ireland)

SUR - International Journal On Human Rights is a biannual journal published in English, Portuguese and Spanish by Conectas Human Rights. It is available on the Internet at <<http://www.surjournal.org>>

SUR is covered by the following abstracting and indexing services: IBSS (International Bibliography of the Social Sciences); ISN Zurich (International Relations and Security Network); DOAJ (Directory of Open Access Journals) and SSRN (Social Science Research Network). In addition, SUR is also available at the following commercial databases: EBSCO, HEINonline, ProQuest and Scopus. SUR has been rated A1 and B1, in Colombia and in Brazil (Qualis), respectively.

SUR. Revista Internacional de Direitos Humanos / Sur – Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos – v.1, n.1, jan.2004 – São Paulo, 2004 - .

Semestral

ISSN 1806-6445

Edições em Inglês, Português e Espanhol.

1. Direitos Humanos 2. ONU I. Rede Universitária de Direitos Humanos

CONTENTS

DEVELOPMENT AND HUMAN RIGHTS

CÉSAR RODRÍGUEZ GARAVITO, JUANA KWEITEL AND LAURA TRAJBER WAISBICH	5	Development and Human Rights: Some Ideas on How to Restart the Debate
CHRISTOPHE GOLAY, IRENE BIGLINO AND IVONA TRUSCAN	15	The Contribution of the UN Special Procedures to the Human Rights and Development Dialogue
LUIS CARLOS BUOB CONCHA	39	The Right to Water: Understanding its Economic, Social and Cultural Components as Development Factors for Indigenous Communities
ANDREA SCETTINI	59	Toward a New Paradigm of Human Rights Protection for Indigenous Peoples: A Critical Analysis of the Parameters Established by the Inter-American Court of Human Rights
SERGES ALAIN DJOYOU KAMGA AND SIYAMBONGA HELEBA	83	Can Economic Growth Translate into Access to Rights? Challenges Faced by Institutions in South Africa in Ensuring that Growth Leads to Better Living Standards
CONECTAS HUMAN RIGHTS	107	Interview with Sheldon Leader Transnational Corporations and Human Rights
ALINE ALBUQUERQUE AND DABNEY EVANS	115	Right to Health in Brazil: A Study of the Treaty-Reporting System
LINDA DARKWA AND PHILIP ATTUQUAYEFIO	141	Killing to Protect? Land Guards, State Subordination and Human Rights in Ghana
CRISTINA RĂDOI	163	The Ineffective Response of International Organisations Concerning the Militarization of Women's Lives
CARLA DANTAS	187	Right of Petition by Individuals within the Global Human Rights Protection System



SERGES ALAIN DJOYOU KAMGA

Serges Alain Djoyou Kamga is a researcher at The South African Institute for Advanced Constitutional, Public, Human Rights and International Law (SAIFAC), a Centre of the University of Johannesburg. His has written on development and human rights, socio-economic rights, disability rights and the African renaissance (NEPAD/APRM).

Email: sergesalain26@gmail.com



SIYAMBONGA HELEBA

Siyambonga Heleba is a lecturer in the Faculty of Law, University of Johannesburg. He holds a LL.M degree in International and European Protection of Human Rights from Utrecht University, The Netherlands (2006). He has published numerous articles in the area of socio-economic rights.

Email: scheleba@uj.ac.za

ABSTRACT

Over the past several years South Africa has experienced economic growth that could be expected to have filtered down to the poor in the form of access to rights. Constitutionalism, characterised by separation of powers with checks and balances coupled with human rights monitoring institutions, provides an enabling environment for growth to reach the poor. Yet, despite the existence of this environment in South Africa, an increase in access to rights has not been seen. The paper thus investigates the challenges faced by democratic institutions in ensuring that growth reaches the grassroots in terms of human rights.

This paper analyses the relationship between rights and economic growth, examines the South African context, and shows that constitutionalism failed to transform growth into rights for the needy. It goes on to assess the impediments faced by democratic institutions in translating growth into access to rights.

Original in English.

Received on August 2012. Accepted on October 2012.

KEYWORDS

Growth – Economic and social rights – South Africa – Development and human rights – Judiciary



This paper is published under the *creative commons* license.

This paper is available in digital format at www.surjournal.org.

CAN ECONOMIC GROWTH TRANSLATE INTO ACCESS TO RIGHTS? CHALLENGES FACED BY INSTITUTIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA IN ENSURING THAT GROWTH LEADS TO BETTER LIVING STANDARDS

Serges Alain Djyou Kamba and Siyambonga Heleba*

1 Introduction

In the contemporary development discourse, economic growth is perceived to be “the major instrument for promoting the well-being of the people.” (SENGUPTA, 2008, p. 40). Therefore, the advent of economic growth in a country is generally followed by the expectation that the standard of living of its population will be improved. However, in several countries, economic growth does not filter down to the masses in the form of access to rights. In these countries, poverty, illiteracy, hunger, lack of health care, and unmet basic needs are the features of everyday life for millions of people. The achievement of development, understood in terms of economic growth, is not followed by the enjoyment of the right to development (RTD), which entails the realisation of civil, political, and socio-economic rights (SENGUPTA, 2006).

Notwithstanding the exception of autocratic China, where strong growth has to some extent led to increase in welfare of the poor (ZHANG, 1993; ROZELLE, ZHANG, HUANG, 2000, XINHUA NEWS AGENCY, 2006; MONTALVO and RAVALLION 2010; WANG, 2011), this paper argues that constitutional democracy, or constitutionalism characterised by separation of powers, coupled with independent monitoring institutions and justiciable socio-economic rights provides an enabling environment for economic growth to reach the grassroots level in the form of human rights realisation. The paper investigates challenges faced by democratic institutions in translating economic growth into access to rights in South Africa, where although economic growth and constitutionalism are realities, the translation of growth into the realisation human rights for the poor remains insufficient.

This paper first explores the relationship between economic growth and

*We are greatly indebted to Prof. David Bilchitz and Ms. Sandra Oder for their valuable and insightful comments on an earlier draft of this paper. We would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments.

human rights realisation. Second, it examines constitutionalism, democratic institutions, and the socio-economic environment in the South African context. This section also demonstrates that growth has not yet resulted in the full realisation of human rights. Third, it assesses challenges faced by democratic institutions mandated to ensure the realisation of human rights. The fourth section concludes with recommendations for translating economic growth into access to rights.

2 The relationship between rights and economic growth

The relationship between rights realisation and economic growth becomes immediately apparent when one looks at the nature of the obligations imposed by the key international human rights instruments focusing on socio-economic rights. The International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) 1966, Article 2(1) of the ICESCR obliges member states:

To take steps, individually and through international assistance and cooperation, especially economic and technical, to the maximum of [their] available resources, with a view to achieving progressively the full realisation of the rights recognised in the present Covenant by all appropriate means, including particularly the adoption of legislative measures.

The treaty envisages that the rights contained therein are not to be realised overnight, but are progressively subject to the resources at the disposal of state parties. Thus, the role of resources available to the state is acknowledged as pivotal in realising the rights in the Covenant. This paper argues that economic growth is key to generate the resources necessary to realise the rights and will next discuss the importance of that relationship.

2.1 *The instrumental importance of economic growth for rights*

The 1990 UNDP Human Development Report (HDR) is considered the first major attempt to evaluate a correlation between growth and the standard of living in countries (RANIS, 2004). The HDR set out to “capture better the complexity of human life,” which was to be done by providing a “quantitative approach to combining various socio-economic indicators into a measure of human development” (UNDP, 1990). In doing so, the UNDP’s approach marked a departure from the dominant economic approach whose “excessive preoccupation with GNP growth and national income accounts has... supplanted a focus on ends by an obsession with merely the means” (UNDP, 1990). The dominant approach achieved its human development index using such indicators as life expectancy, literacy, and GDP as components of the index. However, this approach lacked measures of political freedom and income inequality.

As pointed out above, there is no doubting the role of economic growth – translating into increased revenue for the state – in realising rights, albeit progressively (UNDP, 2003). However, it is not just the growth that is important to decrease poverty levels, but the nature of such growth. The growth has to be sustainable. This is not

only necessary for the progressive realisation of rights, but also because individuals in poverty are vulnerable to recession (MCKAY; VIZARD, 2005).

Another key factor in securing the progressive realisation of rights is the distributional pattern of growth. For instance, in the 1970s and 1990s, Brazil and Pakistan, respectively, experienced fast but extremely unequal growth that resulted in little poverty reduction and increased the level of inequality (EASTERLY, 2001). These instances support the case that unless growth is distributed or shared through all income levels of the population, there will be no human development manifested through the achievement of rights. For example, as a result of oil revenues, Indonesia is said to have experienced a strong growth pattern, distributed among the poor and the wealthy, for thirty years prior to the 1997 crisis. The Indonesian government's commitment to shared growth over this period translated into remarkable poverty reduction in rural areas (TIMMER, 2005).

It is important to reiterate that while there is now a clear correlation between growth and an improvement in the people's standard of living, growth does not automatically translate into access to rights and therefore poverty reduction. As stated above, the nature of the growth is a crucial factor. For growth to translate into access to rights (and therefore to reduce poverty), it must have a particular distributional pattern. This means growth must be distributed among all income levels of society, particularly those living in poverty (EASTERLY 2001; MCKAY and VIZARD, 2005). The existence of effective institutions, both governmental and independent, to curb corruption and mismanagement in the state, can ultimately ensure that resources generated by the growth are used in a manner that prioritises the poor.

2.2 The instrumental importance of rights for economic growth

The three most significant rights instrumental to economic growth are the rights to health, food and education. These rights place several obligations on the state. First, the state must ensure that there is no interference in the exercise of these rights by an individual. Second, where individuals are unable to access these rights, the state is required to provide access to these rights. Third, the state is obliged to create awareness around the rights.

As stated above, the enjoyment of these rights has an impact on growth. To ensure productivity and sustainable growth, people must be healthy, have adequate food, and be educated. According to UNDP, economic growth cannot be sustainable without enjoyment of a better standard of living (UNDP, 2003).

Studies confirm the importance of higher schooling levels, increased life expectancy, better maintenance of the rule of law and lower fertility rates (related to female empowerment), as being key determinants of economic growth (BARRO, 1996). Each of these findings has been confirmed by many empirical studies. Education stands out as having the strongest impact on labour productivity. For example, in the area of agriculture, data from Ghana, Malaysia and Peru shows that a farmer's schooling is responsible for annual increase in output of 2% to 5% (RANIS, 2004). Furthermore, it is estimated that in Indonesia there was an increase in wages of 1.5% to 2.7% for each additional school built per 1,000 children (DUFLO, 2001).

3 The South African context

3.1 South Africa and constitutionalism

Constitutionalism entails a system of government characterised by separation of powers between the executive, the legislature, and the judiciary. It is a system where democratic elections, accountability, good governance, and respect for human rights characterise the government's activities. According to Fombad (2011), constitutionalism “encompass[es] the idea that a government should not only be sufficiently limited in a way that protects its citizens from arbitrary rule but also that such government should be able to operate efficiently and in a way that it can be effectively compelled to operate within its constitutional limitations” (FOMBAD, 2007, p. 7). Thus, key indicators of constitutionalism include: the constitutional protection of freedoms and fundamental rights in a bill of rights, the separation of powers, an independent judiciary, the judicial review and the presence of independent institutions to monitor democracy (FOMBAD, 2007).

According to the preamble of the South African Constitution (1996), the Constitution was adopted “to heal the divisions of the past, and to establish a society based on democratic values, social justice and fundamental human rights,” as well as to “improve the quality of life of all citizens and free the potential of each person.” Hence, it is characterised as a “transformative constitution” (KLARE, 1998). Klare defines transformative constitutionalism as:

[A] long-term project of constitutional enactment, interpretation and enforcement committed (not in isolation, of course, but in a historical context of conducive political developments) to transforming a country's political and social institutions and power relationships in a democratic, participatory, and egalitarian direction. Transformative constitutionalism connotes an enterprise of inducing large-scale social change through nonviolent political processes grounded in law.

(KLARE, 1998, p. 150).

The South African constitutionalism is characterised by separation of powers between the executive, in charge of implementing the law; the legislature, which makes the law; and the judiciary, in charge of enforcing the law. Not only is the judiciary independent, but judges are also obliged to consider international law and may consult foreign law when interpreting the Bill of Rights (section 39 of the Constitution). This provision enables the court to apply international treaty law that South Africa is not a party to at the domestic level.

Furthermore, Chapter 9 of the Constitution establishes independent monitoring institutions to support constitutional democracy. These institutions are the Public Protector the South African Human Rights Commission, the Commission for the Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Cultural, Religious and Linguistic Communities, the Commission for Gender Equality, the

Auditor-General, and the Electoral Commission. Their objectives are to ensure government accountability and respect for human rights. These institutions also provide the necessary checks and balances needed for economic growth to trickle down to the masses.

However, this constitutional arrangement is insignificant for many because of the high levels of poverty and inequality in the country. According to Sibanda, South African constitutionalism has failed to ensure social justice, which is linked to its neoliberal ideology and as such cannot improve the lives of the poor (SIBANDA, 2011). Echoing Pieterse's view, Sibanda believes that judges hide their preference for "political structures and rights discourses associated with classic liberalism ... and accordingly condoning the inequalities occasioned, reinforced and sustained by the unfettered operation of classical liberal economic and social structures." (SIBANDA, 2011, p. 489). Put differently, judges follow neoliberal tenets in the constitutions, which renders fundamental rights impotent in the face of social injustice.

However, the problem does not lie in the neoliberal features of the Constitution, rather, as Klare argues: "[T]he South African Constitution, *in sharp contrast to the classical liberal documents*, is social, redistributive, caring, positive, at least partly horizontal, participatory, multicultural, and self-conscious about its historical setting and transformative role and mission." (KLARE, 1998, p. 153, emphasis added).

In the same vein, Pieterse portrays the South African Constitution as a fundamentally social-democratic model, different from the traditional, liberal model of constitutionalism which is not conducive to social justice, (PIETERSE, 2005, p. 156) and as such leads to the "achievement of certain tangible results or outcomes" (BRAND, 2009, p. 2-3).

The constitutional model found in South Africa is more likely to translate economic growth into human rights realisation for the poor. As Justice Langa's view states: "Without proper respect for the rule of law [embodied in the South African constitutionalism], legal guarantees of human rights cannot be effectively implemented and remain relatively meaningless [and that] respect for the rule of law is also of central relevance to economic development" (LANGA, 2011, p. 448). This view is also shared by Ghai who believes that the path to the realisation of the RTD goes absolutely through constitutionalism at national level (GHAI, 2006). This is because a strong separation of powers, the existence of independent monitoring institutions, and a justiciable bill of rights comprising socio-economic rights constitute an enabling environment for economic growth to filter down to those living in poverty.

Nevertheless, for economic growth to translate into human rights realisation in a constitutional democracy, the state, as the duty-bearer must adopt rights-informed legislations and social policies that follow a distributional pattern of focusing on the poor (MCKAY; VIZARD, 2005), and ensure the availability of financial and human resources for the implementation of such policies. Moreover, it is in a climate of constitutionalism that a vibrant media and civil society thrive, and in turn these actors help to monitor government activities.

3.2 The South African socio-economic context

Besides establishing a strong constitutionalism, South Africa secured itself a place amongst the economically and politically influential nations on the international plane. It is a member of the G20 group of nations and belongs to a bloc of fast growing developing economies comprised of Brazil, Russia, India and China, known as BRICS. Major donors are pulling out of South Africa as they regard the country as a middle-income country with a GDP Per Capita of \$11,100 in 2011, up from \$10,900 in 2010 and \$10,700 in 2009 (CIA, 2012). These figures suggest that South Africa's economy has been expanding at relatively fast pace. To ensure that this growth trickles down to the poor, the government adopted numerous policies informed by the 1994 African National Congress (ANC) Manifesto that pledges to improve the standard of living for all in the country, including those living in poverty. These policies include the 1996 Reconstruction and Development Programme (RDP), the 1996 Growth, Employment and Redistribution (GEAR), the Accelerated and Shared Growth Initiative- South Africa (ASGI-SA) (2004-2014), and the 2010 New Growth Path (NGP).

In 2004, after realising that the GEAR did not yield the expected results, the government decided to launch the (2004-2014) Accelerated and Shared Growth Initiative-South Africa (ASGI-SA) to supplement GEAR. An important component of ASGI-SA was the industrial strategy known as Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment (BBBEE) characterised by the training and integration of black entrepreneurs in the business sector through access to credits and other facilities. Though the BBBEE had created many black entrepreneurs, it was also been criticised for creating a new elite, instead of advancing democracy and bringing resources to the poor (MAKHUNGA, 2008, p. 52 and 55). In fact, various policies did not produce positive results because of endemic corruption and mismanagement especially at local government level. (AUDITOR-GENERAL SOUTH AFRICA, 2012).

Notwithstanding the aforementioned and subsequent policies, South Africa remains one of the most unequal societies. This is illustrated by the following data: the Development Indicators from 2010 show that 49% of persons in South Africa live below a poverty line of R524 per month (approximately 75 US dollars per month) (THE PRESIDENCY, 2010, p. 23). The official unemployment rate in South Africa was 25% (excluding discouraged work-seekers) in 2010 (THE PRESIDENCY, 2010, p. 20-21). Moreover, the unemployment rate for youth in the age group of 15-24 years was 51% (NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, 2011, p. 11). Furthermore, the gini coefficient, a widely used measure of inequality of income or wealth distribution, has risen from 0.68 in 1996 to 0.73 in 2001 (SAHRC, 2010). The Theil Index, which measures inequality within and between groups, while indicating a decline in between-group inequality, shows that inequality within race groups has increased (SAHRC, 2010). For instance, almost two-thirds of all jobless people are below the age of 35, the majority of whom are black youth (NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, 2011, p. 11). In this vein, the statistic published recently by the National Planning Commission show that in the country, the "poorest 20% of the population earns about 2.3 percent of national income, while the richest 20%

earns about 70% of the income” (NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION, 2011, p. 9). This led to the comment that “South Africa’s levels of income inequality are amongst the highest in the world” (LIEBENBERG; QUINOT, 2011, p. 443). From this evidence, the SAHRC draws the conclusion that this lack of progress in reducing poverty and inequality in South Africa “has a direct impact on the progressive realisation of economic and social rights enshrined in the Constitution” (SAHRC, 2010). This happens in the midst of a constitutional democracy and raises the question of the role of the constitutional institutions in ensuring that economic growth reaches the poor in the form of access to rights. Put differently, this raises questions of the challenges faced by these institutions in fulfilling their mandate. These questions will be the focus of the following section.

4 Challenges to translating economic growth into access to rights

It is in the context of South Africa’s constitutional democracy, characterised by the separation of powers, that challenges to translating economic growth into access to rights will be examined. These challenges can be divided into three categories: first, challenges faced by the government, second, those linked to the separation of powers, third, and those faced by the chapter 9 institutions in discharging their mandate.

4.1. Challenges faced by the executive branch of the government

First, given South Africa’s three levels of government -- the national, provincial and local governments -- there are numerous challenges faced in the realisation of human rights. These include: incapacity to co-ordinate poverty-reduction programmes between various government departments and the three spheres of government (LIEBENBERG, 2000). Related to this, and due to lack of consultation, government is often incapable of appropriately identifying the needs of communities, which is compounded by the communities’ lack of awareness and therefore not utilising available programmes to improve their lives (HELEBA, 2011; LIEBENBERG, 2000). Furthermore, in order to help those at the lowest rung of the poverty ladder, government at local level has an indigence policy, requiring persons to register in order to receive certain basic services for free. However, because the policy requires people to present themselves as poor, people often feel ashamed to do this and end up paying for basic services such as water and sanitation and electricity that they would otherwise receive at no cost (HELEBA, 2011). Access to justice is a related problem -- for instance a South African study showed that despite the constitutional provision subjecting all evictions to a court order, only 1% of all evictions in the country go through a court (LANGFORD, 2009, p. 95). In fact, those who know their rights often lack the means to acquire a lawyer who can assist them. Langa correctly in points out that “[l]egal representation remains beyond the financial reach of many South Africans and it is true that more money ensures better representation” (LANGA, 2006, p. 7).

Second, the lack of skill, corruption, and lack of accountability of government officers, especially at municipal level, presents a barrier to the government’s ability to

turn economic growth into human rights realisation. (AUDITOR-GENERALSOUTH AFRICA, 2012). According to the Auditor General, only 5% of municipalities achieved clean audits during the fiscal year 2011-2012. This is due to, among other things, the “lack of consequences for poor performance and transgressions at more than 70% of the [municipalities] and [a] lack of minimum competencies of officials in key positions (most evident in the financial discipline) at 72% of [municipalities]” (AUDITOR-GENERALSOUTH AFRICA, 2012). These findings are particularly worrisome as municipalities are at the frontline of service delivery, and therefore, the level of government at which economic growth is transformed into rights realisation. Consequently, there is a need to capacitate civil servants at local, provincial, as well as national levels and -- more importantly -- ensure their accountability.

Third, in addition to the corruption and incapacity of government officials to deliver services, another significant challenge faced by the country is the AIDS pandemic, which weakens the labour force and affects the efficiency of the country’s social security systems (TSHOOSE, 2010). In addressing these challenges, the government adopted the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act, 2000. The act aims, among other things, to: “[P]rovide for the core principles, mechanisms and processes that are necessary to enable municipalities to move progressively towards the social and economic upliftment of local communities, and ensure universal access to essential services that are affordable to all.”

However, the high number of services delivery protests that have gripped the country since 2005 shows that the progress achieved by this legislation is not enough to ensure basic services for all.

Fourth, economic growth is often a result of the private sector’s investment, which despite creating jobs, aims first and foremost to make money, ensuring better lives for all is not the first priority. Nevertheless, according to the South African Constitution, “[a] provision in the Bill of Rights binds a natural or a juristic person, if and to the extent that, it is applicable, taking into account the nature of the right and the nature of any duty imposed by the right” (section 8 of the Constitution). Accordingly, even companies should comply with that duty imposed by the Bill of Rights. For this to happen, the state has to make sure that companies comply with their responsibilities and obligations to protect and promote human rights.

Though the private sector can, to some extent, improve the living standards for those who can afford to buy its goods, the liberalised market does not improve human rights for the poor. This was seen in Africa in the 1980s when, under the rule of free market, the international financial institutions imposed the Structural Adjustment Programme, which led to privatisation and resulted in lack of education, reduced access to health care and food, and other social ills (SHAH, 2010). It could be argued that the recent economic meltdown characterised by high levels of unemployment, hunger and poverty in Europe and America shows that free market economics policies need substantial revision. Furthermore, free market policies lead to the expansion of the private sector, which becomes more powerful than states and has a reputation for violating, or at least participating in the violation of, human rights. (See *SERAC vs. NIGERIA*, 2001; *DOE vs. UNOCAL*, 2001; *PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH OF SUDAN vs. TALISMAN ENERGY*, 2001; BENETT, 2002).

4.2. Challenges linked to the separation of powers: how the courts 'waste away' the rights of the poor

According to section 165 of the Constitution, “the judicial authority is vested in the courts,” mandated to “apply the law and the Constitution impartially without fear, favour or prejudice.” South African courts are among those that “have rescued [socio-economic rights] from controversies over legitimacy, legality and justiciability” (LANGFORD, 2009, p. 91). In doing so, the Constitutional Court, in particular, has handed down important socio-economic rights judgements that are characterised by “the clarity of the judicial reasoning and reliance on explicit constitutional rights” (LANGFORD, 2009, p. 91).

The right to housing (section 26 of the Constitution) was adjudicated in the seminal case of *Government of Republic of South Africa and Others vs. Grootboom and Others*, 2000. In this case, a poor community living in shacks had been evicted from a privately-owned property after having applied to the government for low-cost housing. Because they lacked housing, they occupied a nearby sports field and set up makeshift structures. The Cape High Court called upon the government to provide shelter to the applicants based on section 28 (1)(c) of the Constitution, which provides for children’s rights to housing. When the matter reached the Constitutional Court, it held that the government’s housing program was in breach of section 26 (2) of the Constitution, providing for the right to housing. The question before the court was to investigate whether the legislative and other measures taken by the state to realise the right were “reasonable.” The court held:

A court considering reasonableness will not enquire whether other more desirable or favourable measures could have been adopted, or whether public measures could have been better spent. The question would be whether the measures that have been adopted are reasonable. It is necessary to recognise that a wide range of possible measures could be adopted by the state to meet its obligations. Many of these would meet the requirement of reasonableness. Once it is shown that the measures do so, this requirement is met.

(GROOTBOOM, para. 41).

To meet the standard of reasonableness, the court stated that the government programme had to be comprehensive, well-coordinated, and capable of responding to the needs of the needy and most vulnerable (paras. 38-39). The programme should also be flexible and make appropriate provision to meet short, medium, and long-term needs (para. 43 and 46). Moreover, considering the government’s challenges, the court acknowledged that the right to housing shall be realised progressively. Accordingly, it noted that “accessibility should be progressively facilitated: legal, administrative, operational and financial hurdles should be examined and, where possible, lowered over time” (para. 45).

In this case, although the state had invested money and resources, and taken legislative and other measures within its capacity to achieve the progressive realisation of the right to housing, it nevertheless failed the reasonableness test for failing to ensure that the housing programme “provide[s] relief for those in

desperate need” (para. 64 and 68). Those in desperate need are not to be ignored in the interests of an programme focused overall on medium and long-term objectives (para. 66). This means the housing programme must appropriately, and as a matter of urgency, cater for those who have no roof over their heads. Ultimately, the court ordered the government to provide temporary housing to the affected families.

This case is interesting to assess the extent to which economic growth can trickle down to the poor in the form of access to rights. Indeed, opponents to the incorporation of human rights into development initiatives often argue that the human rights discourse does not pay sufficient attention to cost (MCKAY; VIZARD, 2005), or to the need to prioritise some choices and act progressively or in sequence. Nevertheless, the court in *Grootboom* clearly emphasised the need to ensure a progressive realisation. In other words, the court recognises that the realisation of human rights entails choices and sequencing and also acknowledges the need to consider the availability of resources in terms of budgets.

Even though the *Grootboom* judgment was not speedily implemented, and Irene Grootboom, the principal applicant died homeless, this judgement was the first one to highlight the positive duty of the state to realise socio-economic rights and to give direction on how the courts could enforce these rights. It also led to the adoption of a housing assistance programme for those in desperate need in August 2003: the Housing Assistance in Emergency Circumstances, Chapter 12, National Housing Code (LIEBENBERG, 2006, p. 178).

Besides *Grootboom*, the Constitutional Court took giant steps in promoting socio-economic rights through cases it adjudicated. For example, it ordered the government to immediately remove the barriers that hinder the distribution of Nevirapine in public hospitals for the sake of protecting mother-to-child transmission of HIV/AIDS (*The Minister of Health and Others vs. Treatment Action Campaign and Others* 2002), and protected the right to social security (section 27 of the Constitution) for “everyone,” including permanent residents in the country (*Khosa vs. Minister of Social Development* (2004)). In addition, the right to basic services, such as water (*Residents of Bon Vista Mansions vs. Southern Metropolitan Local Council* [2002]; *Mazibuko vs. City of Johannesburg and others* [2008]) and electricity (*Joseph vs. City of Johannesburg* (2010)), were enforced by the Constitutional Court. The right to sanitation was recently enforced by the Western Cape High Court (*Ntombentsha Beja & others vs. Premier of Western Cape & others*, (2010)). This judicial responsiveness to socio-economic needs has arguably enabled South Africa’s relative growth to trickle down to the poor in the form of access to rights.

However, a closer look at the adjudication of socio-economic rights reveals that courts are hindered in their action by challenges linked to separation of powers. The separation of powers doctrine allocates specific tasks and responsibilities to each arm of government. In this scheme, lawmakers enact the laws, the executive implements the legislation, and the task of the judiciary is to enforce the laws. The judiciary refuses to take a decision that is not (in principle) within its area of competence, and will defer the matter to other branches of government that have more expertise on the matter (LIEBENBERG, 2009). In Mclean’s words, “the [c]ourt is mindful of its role in a transitional democracy, and extremely cautious about overstepping the mark in

any way” (MCLEAN, 2009, p. 210). According to Brand, in such circumstances, the court uses the “[J]udicial strategy of deference, of deferring to the other branches of government those questions that they feel incapable of deciding, or with respect to which they feel democratically illegitimate, or which they feel threaten their institutional integrity or security, or require them to violate principles of separation of powers” (BRAND, 2011, p. 618).

However, instead of ensuring citizens’ well being, the doctrine of separation of powers may lead to their disempowerment, especially when the courts decline to adjudicate questions that call on them to check on other branches of government and hold them accountable for their actions. This has been the case in socio-economic rights adjudication. In South Africa, the practice of judicial deference that forsakes the poor is used quite frequently by the courts (for a thorough analysis of judicial deference, see MCLEAN, 2009; KAPINDU, 2010).

This kind of judicial deference by the Constitutional Court “wastes away the rights of the poor” (BILCHITZ, 2010). Brand notes that “[t]he employment by courts of the strategy of deference results in courts refusing to decide issues claimants place before them, which sometimes results in their claim being rejected]” (BRAND, 2011). Davis is of the view that the courts miss the opportunity to ensure social justice, but go backward in entrenching “traditional legal techniques,” which cannot lead to poverty eradication. (DAVIS, 2010, p. 93).

For Sibanda, notwithstanding the rights-realisation ideas included in the South African transformative constitutionalism, the efficiency of the courts in addressing poverty is hindered by “the prevalence of a liberal democratic constitutional paradigm in South African constitutional discourse” (SIBANDA, 2011, p. 486). In other words, the liberal ideology in the South African Constitution hinders the courts’ ability to bring justice to the poor in turning growth into human rights realisation. This view; however, cannot be squared with Klare’s, who argues that the South African Constitution is completely different from the non-distributive classical liberal documents.

Notwithstanding Klare’s optimism, it is our contention that the transformative and redistributive character of the Constitution has been tarnished by judicial deference. The court is expected to interpret and give content meaning to the rights in the Constitution, not to abrogate “its very role which is to adjudicate fundamental rights” (BILCHITZ, 2010, p. 595).

It could be argued that judicial deference is a violation of Section 167 (4) (e) of the Constitution that compels the Constitutional Court to decide whether “Parliament or the President has failed to fulfil a constitutional obligation.” This mandate of the court to ensure the enforcement of the state’s constitutional obligations was underlined in *Grootboom* (para. 94).

Therefore, deferring matters to the executive and Parliament in a context where the Constitutional Court is obliged by the Constitution not to do so is very problematic. Even when the cases involve specific technical issues, the courts should seek the necessary expertise and avoid judicial deference, which is the consecration of “liberal hegemon[y]” characterised by a large state bureaucracy that excludes the poor from the democratic process on the ground that the state has the necessary

expertise to solve problems (SANTOS; AVRITZER, 2007). However, it could be argued that deference basically benefits the poor that constantly elect the ANC at national and provincial levels. In other words, the ANC mandate from the grassroots level shall resolve the matter to the benefit of the poor. Unfortunately, this does not always happen, and the high numbers of service delivery protests, along with the explosion of socio-economic rights and evictions litigations in the country, show that judicial deference has been failing the poor.

From this perspective, Brand argues that judicial deference in socio-economic rights cases turns poverty into a technical matter that is depoliticised and becomes almost impossible to be solved by the court and the applicant (BRAND, 2011). This defers the matter to the executive or legislative branch of government and illustrates the “top-down” approach to socio-economic transformation, which is non-participatory and keeps the poor at the margin of development. This approach stands in sharp contrast with development studies and economics discourses, which contend that “sustainable and viable socio-economic transformation is only possible with broad participation by a range of social actors other than the state in development processes” (BRAND, 2011, p. 633). From this perspective, it could be argued that economic growth will not filter down to the poor in the form of human rights if the courts use judicial deference to encourage a “top-down” approach for the distribution of growth.

Moreover, judicial deference essentially calls upon the executive and the legislature to fix the problem that they initially failed to address, and which led to the litigation in the first place. The end result is that the claimant has no option but to remain impoverished, despite economic growth. This is so because the executive that could not ensure that growth trickles down to the poor is asked by the court to remedy the situation, which it is unable or unwilling to do.

However, categorising South African courts as mere deferring institutions could be wrong. The flexibility of the South African separation of powers doctrine was highlighted by P Chaskalson in the case of *Executive Council Western Cape Legislature & Others vs. President of the Republic of South Africa & others* (1995). When confronted with difficulties, South African courts have often moved away from judicial deference to use what Brand calls the “judicial prudence” approach (BRAND, 2011, p. 633), characterised by a broad consultation of other branches of government, institutions, experts, dialogue with the parties, and even members of the public who may not have an interest in the case. (*Blue Moonlight Properties 39 (Pty) Ltd vs. Occupiers of Saratoga Avenue* (2009); *ABSA Bank Ltd v Murray* (2004); *Cashbuild (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd vs. Scott* (2007); *Lingwood vs. The Unlawful Occupiers of R/E of Erf 9 Highlands* (2008)).

There is room for improvement in the functioning of the South African courts. They can use the model of apex courts as seen in Colombia, Argentina, and India, where the involvement of experts is broadened to assist the court with technical issues.*

* See, for example, the Colombian Constitutional Court decision T-760/2008, the Argentinian Supreme Court in *Mendoza, Beatriz Silvia y otros c/ Estado Nacional y otros s/dãnos y perjuicios (danãderivados de la contaminación ambiental del Río Matanza-Riachuelo)* and the Indian Supreme Court case of *People’s Union for Civil Liberties v Union of India* (Writ Petition [Civil] 196 of 2001) *Right to Food Campaign*.

However, most importantly, our courts shall always be ready to ensure compliance with the Constitution and the law “impartially and without fear, favour or prejudice” (section 165 of the Constitution). In this vein, whenever the state does not comply with the law of the land, it is the constitutional duty of the court to say so and issue appropriate remedies (*TAC* case, para 99). Failure of the courts to use this approach hinders their ability to adequately address the needs of the poor. The readiness of the courts to clearly give a substantive content to the law and call on the state to comply will enhance the prospect for translating economic growth into access to rights.

Lastly, it could also be argued that the judiciary in enforcing such laws as the Extension of Security of Tenure Act, 1997 (ESTA) whose objective, *inter alia*, is to make it difficult to evict people from farms, may have brought about unintended consequences. The argument could be that by enforcing this objective of the act, the courts have facilitated the displacement of South Africans from farms and benefited illegal or undocumented migrant workers. Nevertheless, we wish to point out that ESTA gives effect to section 26(3) of the South African Constitution which prohibits evictions without a court order. Section 26(3) protects “everyone” in South Africa. And “everyone” includes, for instance, migrant workers from beyond South African borders. However, only legal or documented migrant workers benefit from this protection. Thus, any displacement of South Africans by undocumented migrant workers from outside South Africa would be against the law, and the judiciary should not be complicit in this.

4.3 Challenges faced by independent monitoring institutions

As previously alluded to, Chapter 9 of the South African Constitution establishes independent institutions to support its constitutional democracy. Although these institutions have specific mandates, all of them aim to check the government, by keeping it accountable, and turn South Africa into a society characterised by social justice (MURRAY, 2006). In fulfilling their mandates, these institutions examine the implementation of human rights, engage the government, the legislature, and civil society to ensure that all the rights in the Constitution become a reality. These institutions are vital for South Africa’s constitutional democracy.

However, these institutions face serious challenges in the execution of their duties and responsibilities. The first challenge is linked to their independence. In this respect, though the appointment and dismissal of office holders under Chapter 9 of the Constitution (with the exception of the Commissioners on the Commission for the Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Cultural, Religious and Linguistic Communities), requires the support of the majority of members of Parliament (sections 193 & 194 of the Constitution), the dominant ruling party, the ANC, enjoys majority in Parliament and can therefore rubber-stamp the appointment or dismissal of whomever it pleases. Murray observes that in a situation of total domination by one political party like in South Africa, “super majorities for appointment and dismissal are rendered ineffective in securing inter-party support because the governing party can choose the incumbents of

the Chapter 9 institutions” (MURRAY, 2010, p. 133). In this context, it becomes difficult to differentiate between the government and officeholders under Chapter 9 of the Constitution who are sometimes perceived as the cronies of the ANC. In fact, the perception of this situation was visible during the 2004 elections when several members of the Commission for Gender Equality appeared on the ANC party lists (MURRAY, 2006).

Moreover, the leniency of the former Public Protector, Lawrence Mushwana, to the ANC was exposed in 2005 through the oil gate party funding scandal. In this case the oil company known as IMVUME made a payment of R11 million to the ANC, which payment the Public Protector refused to investigate on the ground that “he could not follow the money as his mandate did not extend to oversight of non-state entities such as Imvume and the ANC” (FAULL, 2011).

There is a need to ensure the independence of Chapter 9 institutions and one way of achieving this is to ensure that officeholders of the institutions do not concurrently serve in political parties. If Chapter 9 institutions officeholders also hold offices in political parties, they should simply resign or decline appointment to Chapter 9 institutions (PARLIAMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 2007; LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 2).

Further on the issue of independence, in April 2012, the Minister of Higher Education and Training accused the Public Protector Madonsela of being selective in her investigation as many members of the government were investigated (OPPELT, 2012, p. 5). It could be argued that this unnecessary pressure on the Public Protector aims to remind and warn her that she will need a majority of the ANC in order to be reappointed to the office. This threat to the Public Protector is uncalled for, because the ANC (with the support of its alliance partners) is the ruling party under which “patronage, tender manipulation, lack of control, flouting procurement processes” and other forms of corruption have flourished (OPPELT, 2012, p. 5). Increased investigation of members of the ruling party by the Public Protector has brought on petty accusations against the office of the public protector. The ruling party has recently stated: “Madonsela’s decision to attend a political party rally was ill-considered, as it opens her office to perceptions of political bias” (OPPELT, 2012, p. 5). This petty accusation of bias should be viewed as a threat because Madonsela is a woman who attended a National Women’s Day event, which happened to have been organised by the main opposition, the Democratic Alliance. As correctly observed by Oppelt, if there was a real bias in Madonsela’s work, the ANC would have happily pointed it to her by now. Such threats may hinder the independence of the Public Protector, who may close her eyes to the ruling party’s mischief.

The second challenge faced by Chapter 9 institutions has to do with their ability to monitor human rights violations within the three spheres of government: national, provincial, and local (NEWMAN, 2003). For instance, in the *Grootboom* case, when the Constitutional Court called upon the Human Rights Commission to monitor state’s compliance with its decision (para 97), the Commission complied and reported back to the Court. However, the Commission’s report was not broad enough, as it focused only on the order of the court linked to the particular

community whose conditions had given rise to the case. It did not examine the court's broader order urging the state to develop and implement a rational housing policy (NEWMAN, 2003; PILLAY, 2002). In such circumstances, the monitoring has some failings and state's failure to implement human rights may not be noticed.

The third challenge faced by Chapter 9 institutions has to do with the ignorance of the public at large. People do not know where these institutions are located or when and how to approach them. For instance, members of the society are not aware of the role of the Public Protector and consequently do not bring cases of corruption or human rights violation to her attention (MADONSELA, 2010). Furthermore, those who are aware do not bring cases or avoid the role of whistle-blowers for fear of reprisal or victimisation (MADONSELA, 2010).

The Human Rights Commission also struggles to ensure civil society participation in the collection of information and the formulation of recommendations, which are needed to prepare the report (LIEBENBERG, 2006). Similarly, the much-needed civil society participation in advocacy and supervision of the commission's recommendations is also lacking (LIEBENBERG, 2006). The unproductive relationship between the Commission and civil society was summarised in these words: "The form and especially the regularity of their interaction is less than satisfactory. They only meet intermittently as and when there is a need – at seminars, to celebrate Human Rights Day, upon request to compile a report of a hearing, or to assist with an investigation" (DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE RESEARCH PROGRAMME OF THE HUMAN SCIENCES RESEARCH COUNCIL, 2007, p. 36).

Even the Parliamentarians do not understand the work and functioning of Chapter 9 institutions. As a result of this, Parliament is not "making full use of the [Chapter 9] institutions to complement its oversight of the executive and to brief members of Parliament on various matters of public interest on which the institutions may have reported" (LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 3). In resolving this problem, Parliament set up an Office on Institutions Supporting Democracy to be in charge of harmonising Parliament work with Chapter 9 institutions (LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 3). Though this process is on going under the leadership of the Deputy Speaker of Parliament and the Office referred to above, its progress is slow, as indicated by the example of the South African Human Rights Commission (LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 3). The Commission constantly complains of lack of co-operation with Parliament which does not respond adequately to its recommendations and reports (LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 3).

There is a strong need to raise awareness and educate people on the role and value of accessing Chapter 9 institutions. Amongst other means of raising awareness, media such as television, radio, and social networks can be used to communicate developments in Chapter 9 institutions (LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 4).

The fourth challenge hindering Chapter 9 institutions is related to capacity. These institutions are overburdened by the large number of complaints resulting from the high levels of corruption and other malpractices in the country. Not only do these institutions lack resources to tackle corruption and ensure that growth reaches the poor, their mandate and powers limit their efficiency. This is aptly explained by Oppelt in these terms: "Like the auditor-general, whose annual reports offer distressing

insights into weak government financial systems [the Public Protector Office] can only make recommendations. And like the auditor-general, the public protector acts as a mere sentinel to failing administration.” (OPPELT, 2012, p. 5).

In fact, besides the auditor’s general office, which is financially self-sufficient as a result of audit fees it charges, the other Chapter 9 institutions have low operating budgets. Therefore, it is important to increase the amount of money allocated to these institutions and, crucially, to standardise their budgets to eradicate the perception that these institutions are answerable to government departments that pay their bills (LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 1).

As far as their mandate is concerned, these institutions have an express mandate to monitor government activities and, therefore, cannot take decisive action like the judiciary or other branches of the government. In fact, they have very few teeth to fulfill their mandate efficiently. Langeveldt observes: “They do not have the power to take disciplinary action against government officials. Their role is purely investigatory and administrative” (LANGEVELDT, 2012, p. 1).

Nevertheless, these institutions are empowered to investigate and even take matters to courts when necessary. Therefore, their weakness is not linked to their lack of power but, to the fact they do not use the power derived from their authority efficiently (PARLIAMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA, 2007). In fact, when the power of the Public Protector was used efficiently through investigation, it yielded positive results such as the dismissal of two ministers and the suspension of a police commissioner for misconduct by the President of the Republic (BAUER, 2011).

5 Conclusion

The aim of the paper was to investigate the challenges faced by South African democratic institutions in turning growth into access to rights. To attain this objective, the paper looked at three main issues. First, it focused on the relationship between rights and economic growth. Second, it examined the South African context. Third, and finally, it examined some challenges faced by democratic institutions to translating economic growth into access to rights.

On the first issue, the paper demonstrated that there is a relationship between rights and economic growth. It was shown that growth is instrumental for rights realisation and that the converse is also true. In this perspective, the increase of resources as a result of growth is an enabling factor that should allow the state to comply with its role as a duty bearer of rights. The paper also showed complementarity between rights-based and economic approaches to human development. It concluded that either approach on its own is inadequate to achieve human development.

On the second issue, focusing on the South African context, the paper demonstrated that the country is a democracy with strong features of constitutionalism. It also showed that the economy has blossomed and that the country is now considered as a middle income economy. Nevertheless, notwithstanding the adoption of pro-poor policies, there is much more to be done for the growth to fully reach the poor in the form of access to rights.

Third, it was argued that South Africa's constitutional model provides an enabling environment for growth to translate into rights realisation for the poor. However this can only happen if the democratic institutions set up in terms of Chapter 9 of the South African Constitution to monitor implementation of human rights are effective. The myriad of challenges facing not only these Chapter 9 institutions, but the courts and government were highlighted. The main challenges revolve around the lack of capacity, and skill as well as accountability of public servants at the government level. As for the courts, the impact of their role is diluted by sometimes deferring various issues related to the well-being of the poor to the executive and legislature. Chapter 9 institutions' main barriers include the lack of general awareness by the public, human and financial capacity and the general perception that they are extensions of the ruling party.

To enhance the prospects of translating growth into access to rights, the challenges identified above should be attended to decisively. This can be done through capacity building and accountability of public servants at government level; the judiciary should take its responsibility and be ready "to interpret the Constitution without outside interference and to invalidate government action that infringes on the constitutional values" (GORDON; BRUCE, 2006, p. 30). Citizens at large must be educated about Chapter 9 institutions, which must be allocated more human and financial resources. Finally, the perception that officeholders of Chapter 9 institutions are mere extensions of the ruling party can be removed by ensuring that officers in these institutions are not related to political parties in any way.

REFERENCES

Bibliography and other sources

- AUDITOR-GENERAL SOUTH AFRICA. 2012. **Nationwide local government audit results unchanged at unqualified audit option level**. 23 July. Available at: <<http://www.info.gov.za/speech/DynamicAction?pageid=461&sid=29289&tid=77348>>. Last accessed on: 26 Jul. 2012.
- BARRO, Robert J. 1996. **Determinants of economic growth**: across country empirical study. Available at: <http://www.nber.org/papers/w5698.pdf?new_window=1>. Last accessed on: 03 Nov. 2012.
- BAUER, Nickolaus. 2011. Zuma wields the axe 'for the good of SA'. **Mail & Guardian**, 24 October. Available at: <<http://mg.co.za/article/2011-10-24-zuma-wields-the-axe-for-good-of-sa>>. Last accessed on: 20 Aug. 2012.
- BENNETT, Juliette. 2002. Multinational corporations, social responsibility and conflict. **Journal of International Affairs**, v. 55, No. 2, p. 393-410, spring.
- BILCHITZ, David. 2007. **Poverty and fundamental rights**: the justification and enforcement of socio-economic rights. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

- _____. 2010. Is the Constitutional Court wasting away the rights of the poor? *Nokotyana v. Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality*. **The South African Law Journal**, v. 127, part 4, p. 591-605.
- BRAND, Jacobus Frederick Daniel. 2009. **Courts, socio-economic rights and transformative politics**. LLD Thesis (Doctoral) – Stellenbosch University, Stellenbosch.
- _____. 2011. Judicial deference and democracy in socio-economic rights cases in South Africa. **Stellenbosch Law Review**, v. 22, No. 3, p. 614-638, dec.
- CURRIE, Iain; DE WAAL, Johan. 2005. **The Bill of Rights handbook**. 5th ed. Claremont, South Africa: Juta.
- CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY (CIA). 2012. **World factbook**. Available at: <<https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/rss-updates/world-factbook-updates-january-20-2012.html>>. Last accessed on: 03 Mar. 2012.
- DAVIS, Dennis. 2010. Transformation: the constitutional promise and reality. **South African Journal on Human Rights**, v. 26, n. 1, p. 85-101.
- DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNANCE RESEARCH PROGRAMME OF THE HUMAN SCIENCES RESEARCH COUNCIL. 2007. **Assessment of the Relationship Between Chapter 9 Institutions and Civil Society**. Final report, 15 January 2007. Available at: <www.fhr.org.za/attachment_view.php?aa_id=26>. Last accessed on: 20 Aug. 2012.
- DUFLO, Esther. 2001. **The medium run effects of educational expansion: evidence from a large school construction program in Indonesia**. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Economics. Working Paper: 01/46. Available at: <[http://qed.econ.queensu.ca/pub/students/phds/rouillard/econ222/winter2011/news/dufflo\(2001\).pdf](http://qed.econ.queensu.ca/pub/students/phds/rouillard/econ222/winter2011/news/dufflo(2001).pdf)>. Last accessed on: 03 Nov. 2012.
- EASTERLY, William. 2001. **The political economy of growth without development: a case study of Pakistan**. Paper for the Analytical Narratives of Growth Project, Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University. Available at: <http://williamesterly.files.wordpress.com/2010/08/9_-easterly_thepoliticeconomyofgrowthwithoutdevelopmentpakistan.pdf>. Last accessed on: 3 Nov. 2012.
- FAN, Shengen; CHAN-KANG, Connie. 2005. **Road development, economic growth, and poverty reduction in China**. Available at: <<http://www.ifpri.cgiar.org/sites/default/files/publications/rr138.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 20 Aug. 2012.
- FAULL, Lionel. 2011. Mushwana unmoved by Oilgate ruling. **Mail & Guardian**, 10 June. Available at: <<http://amabhungane.co.za/article/2011-06-10-mushwana-unmoved-by-oilgate-ruling>>. Last accessed on: 20 Aug. 2012.
- FOMBAD, Charles Manga. 2007. Challenges to constitutionalism and constitutional rights and the enabling role of political parties: lessons and perspective from Southern Africa. **American Journal of Comparative Law**, v. 55, No. 1, p. 1-45, winter.
- _____. 2011. Constitutional reforms and constitutionalism in Africa: reflections on some current challenges and prospects. **Buffalo Law Review**, Buffalo, NY, v. 59, No. 4, p. 1.007-1.108, aug.
- GHAI, Yash. 2006. Redesigning the State for right development. In: ANDREASSEN, Bård-Anders; MARKS, Stephen P. (Eds.). 2006. **Development as a human right: legal, political and economic dimensions**. London: Havard School of Public Health Francois-Xavier Bagnoud Centre for Health and Human Rights. p. 141-166.
- GORDON, Amy; BRUCE, David. 2006. **Transformation and the independence of the**

- judiciary in South Africa.** Available at: <<http://www.csvr.org.za/docs/transition/3.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 22 Aug. 2012.
- HELEBA, Siyambonga. 2011. The right of access to sufficient water in South Africa: how far have we come? **Law Democracy and Development**, Bellville, South Africa, v. 15, p. 244-278.
- KAPINDU, R. E. 2010. Reclaiming the frontier border of constitutional deference: *Mazibuko v City of Johannesburg*: a jurisprudential setback. **Southern African Public Law**, v. 25, No. 2, p. 471-490.
- KLARE, K. 1998. Legal culture and transformative constitutionalism. **South African Journal on Human Rights**, v. 14, p. 146-188.
- LANGA, Pius N. 2011. The role of the Constitution in the struggle against poverty. **Stellenbosch Law Review**, v. 22, No. 3, p. 446-451, dec.
- _____. 2006. **Transformative constitutionalism.** Prestige lecture delivered at Stellenbosch University on 9 October 2006. Available at: <<http://web.up.ac.za/sitefiles/file/Faculty%20of%20Law%20Documents/2011/Langa%20-%20Transformative%20Constitutionalism.PDF>>. Last accessed on: 02 Aug. 2012.
- LANGVELDT, Veleska. 2012. **The Chapter 9 Institutions in South Africa.** Southern Africa Catholic Bishops' Conference, Parliamentary Liaison Office. Briefing Paper 287, April 2012. Available at: <http://www.hss.de/fileadmin/suedafrika/downloads/BP_287_The_Chapter_9_Institutions_in_South_Africa_April_2012.pdf>. Last accessed on: 20 Aug. 2012).
- LANGFORD, Malcolm. 2009. Domestic adjudication and economic, social and cultural rights: a socio-legal review. **SUR**, São Paulo, v. 6, n. 11, p. 98-133, dec. Available at: <<http://www.surjournal.org/eng/conteudos/pdf/11/05.pdf>>. Last accessed on: Aug. 2012.
- LIEBENBERG, Sandra. 2000. **Human development and human rights, South African Country Study.** Human Development Report 2000 Background Paper. Available at: <<http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/global/hdr2000/papers/sandra%20liebenberg.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 01 Aug. 2012.
- _____. 2006. Making a difference: human rights and development – reflecting on the South African experience. In: ANDREASSEN, Bård-Anders; MARKS, Stephen P. (Eds.). *Development as a human right: legal, political and economic dimensions.* Cambridge, MA: Harvard School of Public Health, François-Xavier Bagnoud Centre for Health and Human Rights.
- _____. 2009. **Socio-economic rights: adjudication under a transformative constitution.** Claremont, South Africa: Juta.
- LIEBENBERG, Sandra; QUINOT, Geo. 2011. **Editors' introduction: law and poverty colloquium special edition.** *Stellenbosch Law Review = Stellenbosch Regstydskrif*, v. 22, No. 3, p. 443-445.
- MADONSELA, Thuli N. 2010. **Corruption and governance challenges: the South African experience: address by the Public Protector of the Republic of South Africa at the National Conference on Corruption and Governance Challenges, in Nigeria on 21 January 2010.** Available at: <http://www.publicprotector.org/media_gallery/2010/PP%20Speech%20Nigeria%20Corruption%20and%20Governance%20Challenges%20final.pdf>. Last accessed on: 01 Aug. 2012.
- MAKHUNGA, Andile C. 2008. **Black economic empowerment and its impact on wealth creation in the new South Africa.** MBA dissertation (Master of Business Administration) – University of Pretoria, Pretoria.

- MCKAY, Andy; VIZARD, Polly. 2005. **Human rights and poverty reduction: right and economic growth: inevitable conflict or 'common ground'?**. London: Overseas Development Institute (ODI). (Rights in Action).
- MCLEAN, Kirsty. 2009. **Constitutional deference, courts and socio-economic rights in South Africa**. Pretoria: Pretoria University Law Press (PULP).
- MONTALVO, Jose G.; RAVALLION, Martin. 2010. The pattern of growth and poverty reduction in China. **Journal of Comparative Economics**, v. 38, No. 1, p. 2-16.
- MURRAY, Christina. 2006. The Human Rights Commission et al: what is the role of South Africa's Chapter 9 institutions? **PER: Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal**, v. 9, No. 2, p. 122-197.
- NATIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION. 2011. Diagnostic overview. Available at: <<http://www.info.gov.za/view/DownloadFileAction?id=147192>>. Last accessed on: 03 Nov. 2012.
- NEWMAN, Dwight. 2003. Institutional monitoring of social and economic rights: a South African case study and a new research agenda. **South African Journal on Human Rights**, v. 19, p. 189-215.
- OPPELT, Phylicia. 2012. Public protector weighed down by high expectations. **Sunday Times**. South Africa, 12 Aug. 2012, p. 5.
- PARLIAMENT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA. 2007. **Report of the ad hoc Committee on the Review of Chapter 9 and Associated Institutions**: a report to the National Assembly of the Parliament of South Africa, 31 July 2007. Cape Town, South Africa. Available at: <http://d2zmx6mlqh7g3a.cloudfront.net/cdn/farfuture/-XLbTop16m3Peny9rXuUTk9WIJ0nfTRBhfmHgr02b6U/mtime:1223035459/files/docs/070821chap9report_0.pdf>. Last accessed on: 13 Aug. 2012.
- PETER, Chris Maina. **Human Rights Commissions in Africa: lessons and challenges**. Available at: <http://www.kas.de/upload/auslandshomepages/namibia/Human_Rights_in_Africa/11_Peter.pdf>. Last accessed on: 02 Aug. 2012.
- PIETERSE, Edgar; VAN DONK, Mirjam. 2004. The politics of socio-economic rights in South Africa: ten years after apartheid. **ESR Review: Economic and Social Rights in South Africa**, v. 5, No. 5, p. 12-15, dec.
- PIETERSE, Marius. 2005. What do we mean when we talk about transformative constitutionalism? **SA Public Law**, v. 20, n. 1, p. 155-166.
- PILLAY, Kameshni. 2002. Implementing grootboom: supervision needed. **ESR Review: Economic and Social Rights in South Africa**, v. 3, No. 1, p. 13-14, july.
- RANIS, Gustav. 2004. **Human development and economic growth**. New Haven, CT: Economic Growth Center, Yale University. (Center Discussion Paper No. 887). Available at: <<http://ageconsearch.umn.edu/bitstream/28375/1/dp040887.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 13 Aug. 2012.
- ROZELLE, Scott; ZHANG, Linxiu; HUANG, Jikum. 2000. **China's war on poverty**. Stanford, CA: Center for Research and Policy Reform. (Working Paper No. 60). Available at: <<http://www.stanford.edu/group/siepr/cgi-bin/siepr/?q=system/files/shared/pubs/papers/pdf/credpr60.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 21 Aug. 2012.
- SANTOS, Boaventura de Sousa; AVRITZER, Leonardo. 2007. Introduction: opening up the canon of democracy. In: SANTOS, Boaventura de Sousa (Ed.). **Democratizing democracy: beyond the liberal democratic canon**. London; New York: Verso. p. xxxiv- lxxiv.
- SENGUPTA, Arjun. 2006. The human right to development. In: ANDREASSEN, Bård-Anders; MARKS, Stephen P. (Eds.). 2006. **Development as a human right: legal, political**

- and economic dimensions. London: Harvard School of Public Health. p. 9-35.
- _____. 2008. The political economy of legal empowerment of the poor. In: BANIK, Dan. (Ed.). 2008. **Rights and legal empowerment of the poor in eradicating poverty**. Farham: Ashgate. p. 31-46.
- SHAH, Anup. 2010. Structural adjustment: a major cause of poverty. **Global Issues**, November, 28 2010. Available at: <<http://www.globalissues.org/article/3/structural-adjustment-a-major-cause-of-poverty>>. Last accessed on: 02 Nov. 2012.
- SIBANDA, Sanele. 2011. Not purpose-made! Transformative constitutionalism, post-independence constitutionalism and the struggle to eradicate poverty. **Stellenbosch Law Review**, v. 22, No. 3, p. 482-500, Dec.
- SOUTH AFRICAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION (SAHRC). 2008. **Millennium development goals and the realisation of economic and social rights in South Africa: a review**. Working Paper: ESR Unit: Research, Documentation and Policy Unit, Dec. 2008. Available at: <<http://www.sahrc.org.za/home/21/files/1/ESR%20Working%20Paper%20for%20Public%20Hearings%202009.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 13 Aug. 2012.
- SOUTH AFRICAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION (SAHRC). 2010. **The 7th Report on Economic and Social Rights: Millennium Development Goals and the Progressive Realisation of Economic and Social Rights in South Africa, 2006-2009**. Available at: <<http://www.info.gov.za/view/DownloadFileAction?id=147599>>. Last accessed on: 13 Aug. 2012.
- TIMMER, Peter 2005. **Operationalising Pro-poor growth: country study for the World Bank: Indonesia**. Available at: <<http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTPGI/Resources/342674-1115051237044/oppgindonesiaMay2005.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 03 Nov. 2012.
- THE PRESIDENCY. 2010. **Development indicators**. Available at: <<http://www.presidency.gov.za/MediaLib/Downloads/Home/Publications/NationalPlanningCommission4/Development%20Indicators2010.pdf>>. Last accessed on: 03 Nov. 2012.
- TSHOOSE, Clarence Itumeleng. 2010. The impact of HIV/AIDS regarding informal social security: issues and perspectives from a South African context. **PER: Potchefstroom Electronic Law Journal**, v. 13, No. 3. p. 408-447. Available at: <<http://www.saflii.org/za/journals/PER/2010/25.html>>. Last accessed on: 13 Aug. 2012.
- UNDP. 1990. **Human development report**. concept and measurement of human development. Available at: <<http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/global/hdr1990/>>. Last accessed on: 03 Nov. 2012.
- _____. 2003. **Human development report**. millennium development goals: a compact among nations to end human poverty. Available at: <<http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/global/hdr2003/>>. Last accessed on: 03 Nov. 2012.
- WANG, Yamei. 2011. China maps out rural poverty alleviation for next decade. **English. news.cn**, 2011-11-29. Available at: <http://news.xinhuanet.com/english2010/china/2011-11/29/c_131276686.htm>. Last accessed on: 21 Aug. 2012.
- XINHUA NEWS AGENCY. 2006. **China makes strides in poverty alleviation in rural areas**. October 6, 2006. Available at: <<http://www.china.org.cn/english/features/poverty/182996.htm>>. Last accessed on: 21 Aug. 2012.
- ZHANG, Amei. 1993. **Poverty alleviation in China: commitment, policies and expenditure**. Available at: <http://hdr.undp.org/en/reports/global/hdr1997/papers/amei_zhang.pdf>. Last accessed on: 21 Aug. 2012.

Jurisprudence

Argentina

2008. The Argentinian Supreme Court in Mendoza, Beatriz Silvia y otros c/ Estado Nacional y otros s/dáños y perjuicios (danã derivados de la contaminación ambiental del Río Matanza-Riachuelo) (summary in English). Available at: <http://www.farn.org.ar/participacion/riachuelo/resumen_ingles.html>. Last accessed on: 02 Aug. 2012.

Colombia

2008. Colombian Constitutional Court decision T-760/2008.

India

2001. The Indian Supreme Court case of People's Union for Civil Liberties vs. Union of India (Writ Petition [Civil] 196 of 2001) Right to Food Campaign). Available at: <http://www.righttofoodindia.org/mdm/mdm_scorders.html>. Last accessed on: 02 Aug. 2012.

South Africa

1995. Executive Council Western Cape Legislature & others vs. President of the Republic of South Africa & others 1995 4 SA 877.

1997. Joseph vs. City of Johannesburg SA 786 (5 June 1997).

2000. Government of Republic of South Africa and Others vs. Grootboom and Others, 2000 (11) BCLR 1169 (CC).

2002. Minister of Health and Others v Treatment Action Campaign and Others (No 2) (TAC case) (2002 5 SA 721 (CC)).

2002. Residents of Bon Vista Mansions vs. Southern Metropolitan Local Council 2002 (6) BCLR 625 (W).

2004. Khosa vs. Minister of Social Development 2004 (6) SA 505 (CC); 2004 (6) BCLR 569 (CC).

2004. ABSA Bank Ltd v Murray 2004 2 SA 15 (C).

2005. President of the Republic of South Africa vs. Modderklip Boerdery (Pty) Ltd (Agri SA, amici curiae) 2005 5 SA 3 (CC).

2007. Cashbuild (South Africa) (Pty) Ltd vs. Scott (2007 1 SA 332 (T)).

2008 Lingwood vs. The Unlawful Occupiers of R/E of Erf 9 Highlands 2008 3 BCLR 325 (W).

2008 Centre for Child Law vs. MEC for Education, Gauteng (2008 (1) SA 223 (T)).

2008. Occupiers of 51 Olivia Road Berea Township and 197 Main Street Johannesburg vs. City of Johannesburg 2008 3 SA 208 (CC).

2008. Mazibuko vs. City of Johannesburg and others [2008] 4 All SA 471.

2008. S vs. M 2008 3 SA 232 (CC).

2009. City of Johannesburg vs. Mazibuko, 2009 (3) SA 592 (SCA), 2009 (8) BCLR 791 (SCA).

2009. Mazibuko vs. City of Johannesburg [2009] ZACC 28).

2009. Blue Moonlight Properties 39 (Pty) Ltd vs. Occupiers of Saratoga Avenue (2009 1 SA 470 (W)).

2010. Ntombentsha Beja & others vs. Premier of Western Cape & others (case No: 21332/10).

2010. Nokotyana vs. Ekurhuleni Metropolitan Municipality 2010 (4) BCLR312 (CC).

USA

2001 Doe vs. Unocal, Case No. CV 966959, pending in US District Court for the Northern District of California.

2001 Presbyterian Church of Sudan vs. Talisman Energy, Case No. 01 City. 9882, pending in the US District Court for the Southern District of New York.

African Commission

2001 Communication 155/96 SERAC & Another vs. Nigeria, ACHPR.

RESUMO

Ao longo dos últimos anos, a África do Sul experimentou um crescimento econômico que normalmente deveria ter sido filtrado para os pobres na forma de acesso a direitos. Embora na China autocrática o crescimento tenha aumentado o bem-estar dos pobres, este artigo sustenta que o constitucionalismo caracterizado pela separação dos poderes com freios e contrapesos, acompanhado por instituições de monitoramento dos direitos humanos tais como existem na África do Sul, oferece o ambiente propício para que o crescimento alcance os pobres. No entanto, isso não acontece na África do Sul. O artigo investiga, portanto, os desafios enfrentados pelas instituições democráticas no sentido de fazer com que o crescimento atinja as bases em termos de direitos humanos.

Nessa investigação, o artigo analisa a relação entre direitos e crescimento econômico, examina o contexto sul-africano e mostra que o constitucionalismo não conseguiu transformar o crescimento em direitos para os necessitados, para depois avaliar os obstáculos enfrentados pelas instituições democráticas na busca de traduzir o crescimento em acesso a direitos.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Crescimento – Direitos socioeconômicos – África do Sul – Desenvolvimento e direitos humanos – Poder judiciário

RESUMEN

En los últimos años Sudáfrica vivió un crecimiento económico que, normalmente, debería haberse filtrado hacia abajo, hacia los sectores más pobres, en la forma de acceso a derechos. A excepción de la China autocrática, donde el crecimiento mejoró la calidad de vida de los sectores más pobres, en el presente trabajo se argumenta que el constitucionalismo caracterizado por la separación de poderes, con equilibrio de poderes, junto a instituciones que velan por los derechos humanos, como sucede en Sudáfrica, generan un ambiente propicio para que el crecimiento llegue a los más pobres. Sin embargo, esto no sucede en Sudáfrica. El artículo investiga los problemas enfrentados por las instituciones democráticas para garantizar que el crecimiento llegue a las bases, en términos de derechos humanos.

El presente estudio, analiza la relación entre derechos y crecimiento económico, examina el contexto sudafricano y muestra que el constitucionalismo no consiguió transformar el crecimiento en derechos para los más vulnerables, luego de evaluar los obstáculos enfrentados por las instituciones democráticas para traducir crecimiento en acceso a los derechos.

PALABRAS CLAVE

Crecimiento – Derechos socioeconómicos – Sudáfrica – Desarrollo y derechos humanos – Poder judicial

PREVIOUS NUMBERS

Previous numbers are available at <www.surjournal.org>.

SUR 1, v. 1, n. 1, Jun. 2004

EMILIO GARCÍA MÉNDEZ
Origin, Concept and Future of Human Rights: Reflections for a New Agenda

FLAVIA PIOVESAN
Social, Economic and Cultural Rights and Civil and Political Rights

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA AND A. SCOTT DUPREE
Reflections on Civil Society and Human Rights

JEREMY SARKIN
The Coming of Age of Claims for Reparations for Human Rights Abuses Committed in the South

VINODH JAICHAND
Public Interest Litigation Strategies for Advancing Human Rights in Domestic Systems of Law

PAUL CHEVIGNY
Repression in the United States after the September 11 Attack

SERGIO VIEIRA DE MELLO
UN Member States Can Make the UN Work Five Questions for the Human Rights Field

SUR 2, v. 2, n. 2, Jun. 2005

SALIL SHETTY
Millennium Declaration and Development Goals: Opportunities for Human Rights

FATEH AZZAM
Reflections on Human Rights Approaches to Implementing the Millennium Development Goals

RICHARD PIERRE CLAUDE
The Right to Education and Human Rights Education

JOSÉ REINALDO DE LIMA LOPES
The Right to Recognition for Gays and Lesbians

E.S. NWAUCHE AND J.C. NWOBIKE
Implementing the Right to Development

STEVEN FREELAND
Human Rights, the Environment and Conflict: Addressing Crimes against the Environment

FIONA MACAULAY
Civil Society-State Partnerships for the Promotion of Citizen Security in Brazil

EDWIN REKOSH
Who Defines the Public Interest?

VÍCTOR E. ABRAMOVICH
Courses of Action in Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: Instruments and Allies

SUR 3, v. 2, n. 3, Dec. 2005

CAROLINE DOMMEN
Trade and Human Rights: Towards Coherence

CARLOS M. CORREA
TRIPS Agreement and Access to Drugs in Developing Countries

BERNARDO SORJ
Security, Human Security and Latin America

ALBERTO BOVINO
Evidential Issues before the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

NICO HORN
Eddie Mabo and Namibia: Land Reform and Pre-Colonial Land Rights

NLERUM S. OKOGBULE
Access to Justice and Human Rights Protection in Nigeria: Problems and Prospects

MARÍA JOSÉ GUEMBE
Reopening of Trials for Crimes Committed by the Argentine Military Dictatorship

JOSÉ RICARDO CUNHA
Human Rights and Juristicability: A Survey Conducted in Rio de Janeiro

LOUISE ARBOUR
Plan of Action Submitted by the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights

SUR 4, v. 3, n. 4, Jun. 2006

FERNANDE RAINE
The measurement challenge in human rights

MARIO MELO
Recent advances in the justiciability of indigenous rights in the Inter American System of Human Rights

ISABELA FIGUEROA
Indigenous peoples versus oil companies: Constitutional control within resistance

ROBERT ARCHER
The strengths of different traditions: What can be gained and what might be lost by combining rights and development?

J. PAUL MARTIN
Development and rights revisited: Lessons from Africa

MICHELLE RATTON SANCHEZ
Brief observations on the mechanisms for NGO participation in the WTO

JUSTICE C. NWOBIKE
Pharmaceutical corporations and access to drugs in developing countries: The way forward

CLÓVIS ROBERTO ZIMMERMANN
Social programs from a human rights perspective: The case of the Lula administration's family grant in Brazil

CHRISTOF HEYNS, DAVID PADILLA AND LEO ZWAAK
A schematic comparison of regional human rights systems: An update

BOOK REVIEW

SUR 5, v. 3, n. 5, Dec. 2006

CARLOS VILLAN DURAN
Lights and shadows of the new United Nations Human Rights Council

PAULINA VEGA GONZÁLEZ
The role of victims in International Criminal Court proceedings: their rights and the first rulings of the Court

OSWALDO RUIZ CHIRIBOGA
The right to cultural identity of indigenous peoples and national

minorities: a look from the Inter-American System

LYDIAH KEMUNTO BOSIRE
Overpromised, underdelivered: transitional justice in Sub-Saharan Africa

DEVIKA PRASAD
Strengthening democratic policing and accountability in the Commonwealth Pacific

IGNACIO CANO
Public security policies in Brazil: attempts to modernize and democratize versus the war on crime

TOM FARER
Toward an effective international legal order: from co-existence to concert?

BOOK REVIEW

SUR 6, v. 4, n. 6, Jun. 2007

UPENDRA BAXI
The Rule of Law in India

OSCAR VILHENA VIEIRA
Inequality and the subversion of the Rule of Law

RODRIGO UPRIMNY YEPES
Judicialization of politics in Colombia: cases, merits and risks

LAURA C. PAUTASSI
Is there equality in inequality? Scope and limits of affirmative actions

GERT JONKER AND RIKA SWANZEN
Intermediary services for child witnesses testifying in South African criminal courts

SERGIO BRANCO
Brazilian copyright law and how it restricts the efficiency of the human right to education

THOMAS W. POGGE
Eradicating systemic poverty: brief for a Global Resources Dividend

SUR 7, v. 4, n. 7, Dec. 2007

LUCIA NADER
The role of NGOs in the UN Human Rights Council

CECÍLIA MACDOWELL SANTOS
Transnational legal activism and the State: reflections on cases against Brazil in the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights

TRANSITIONAL JUSTICE

TARA URS
Imagining locally-motivated accountability for mass atrocities: voices from Cambodia

CECILY ROSE AND

FRANCIS M. SSEKANDI
The pursuit of transitional justice and African traditional values: a clash of civilizations – The case of Uganda

RAMONA VIJEYARASA
Facing Australia's history: truth and reconciliation for the stolen generations

PREVIOUS NUMBERS

Previous numbers are available at <www.surjournal.org>.

ELIZABETH SALMÓN G.

The long road in the fight against poverty and its promising encounter with human rights

INTERVIEW WITH JUAN MÉNDEZ

By Glenda Mezarobba

SUR 8, v. 5, n. 8, Jun. 2008

MARTÍN ABREGÚ

Human rights for all: from the struggle against authoritarianism to the construction of an all-inclusive democracy - A view from the Southern Cone and Andean region

AMITA DHANDA

Constructing a new human rights lexicon: Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

LAURA DAVIS MATTAR

Legal recognition of sexual rights – a comparative analysis with reproductive rights

JAMES L. CAVALLARO AND

STEPHANIE ERIN BREWER

The virtue of following: the role of Inter-American litigation in campaigns for social justice

RIGHT TO HEALTH AND ACCESS TO MEDICAMENTS

PAUL HUNT AND RAJAT KHOSLA
The human right to medicines

THOMAS POGGE

Medicines for the world: boosting innovation without obstructing free access

JORGE CONTESSE AND DOMINGO LOVERA PARMO

Access to medical treatment for people living with HIV/AIDS: success without victory in Chile

GABRIELA COSTA CHAVES, MARCELA FOGAÇA VIEIRA AND RENATA REIS

Access to medicines and intellectual property in Brazil: reflections and strategies of civil society

SUR 9, v. 5, n. 9, Dec. 2008

BARBORA BUKOVSKÁ

Perpetrating good: unintended consequences of international human rights advocacy

JEREMY SARKIN

Prisons in Africa: an evaluation from a human rights perspective

REBECCA SAUNDERS

Lost in translation: expressions of human suffering, the language of human rights, and the South African Truth and Reconciliation Commission

SIXTY YEARS OF THE UNIVERSAL DECLARATION OF HUMAN RIGHTS

PAULO SÉRGIO PINHEIRO

Sixty years after the Universal Declaration: navigating the contradictions

FERNANDA DOZ COSTA

Poverty and human rights from rhetoric to legal obligations: a critical account of conceptual frameworks

EITAN FELNER

A new frontier in economic and social rights advocacy? Turning quantitative data into a tool for human rights accountability

KATHERINE SHORT

From Commission to Council: has the United Nations succeeded in creating a credible human rights body?

ANTHONY ROMERO

Interview with Anthony Romero, Executive Director of the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU)

SUR 10, v. 6, n. 10, Jun. 2009

ANUJ BHUWANIA

“Very wicked children”: “Indian torture” and the Madras Torture Commission Report of 1855

DANIELA DE VITO, AISHA GILL AND DAMIEN SH-ORT

Rape characterised as genocide

CHRISTIAN COURTIS

Notes on the implementation by Latin American courts of the ILO Convention 169 on indigenous peoples

BENYAM D. MEZMUR

Intercountry adoption as a measure of last resort in Africa: Advancing the rights of a child rather than a right to a child

HUMAN RIGHTS OF PEOPLE ON THE MOVE: MIGRANTS AND REFUGEES

KATHARINE DERDERIAN AND

LIESBETH SCHOCKAERT

Responding to “mixed” migration flows: A humanitarian perspective

JUAN CARLOS MURILLO

The legitimate security interests of the State and international refugee protection

MANUELA TRINDADE VIANA

International cooperation and internal displacement in Colombia: Facing the challenges of the largest humanitarian crisis in South America

JOSEPH AMON AND KATHERINE

TODRYS

Access to antiretroviral treatment for migrant populations in the Global South

PABLO CERIANI CERNADAS

European migration control in the African territory: The omission of the extraterritorial character of human rights obligations

SUR 11, v. 6, n. 11, Dec. 2009

VÍCTOR ABRAMOVICH

From Massive Violations to Structural Patterns: New Approaches and Classic Tensions in the Inter-American Human Rights System

VIVIANA BOHÓRQUEZ MONSALVE

AND JAVIER AGUIRRE ROMÁN

Tensions of Human Dignity: Conceptualization and Application to International Human Rights Law

DEBORA DINIZ, LÍVIA BARBOSA

AND WEDERSON RUFINO DOS

SANTOS

Disability, Human Rights and Justice

JULIETA LEMAITRE RIPOLL

Love in the Time of Cholera: LGBT Rights in Colombia

ECONOMIC, SOCIAL AND CULTURAL RIGHTS

MALCOLM LANGFORD

Domestic Adjudication and Economic, Social and Cultural Rights: A Socio-Legal Review

ANN BLYBERG

The Case of the Mislaid Allocation: Economic and Social Rights and Budget Work

ALDO CALIARI

Trade, Investment, Finance and Human Rights: Assessment and Strategy Paper

PATRICIA FEENEY

Business and Human Rights: The Struggle for Accountability in the UN and the Future Direction of the Advocacy Agenda

INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS COLLOQUIUM

Interview with Rindai Chipfunde-Vava, Director of the Zimbabwe Election Support Network (ZESN) Report on the IX International Human Rights Colloquium

SUR 12, v. 7, n. 12, Jun. 2010

SALIL SHETTY

Foreword

FERNANDO BASCH ET AL.

The Effectiveness of the Inter-American System of Human Rights Protection: A Quantitative Approach to its Functioning and Compliance With its Decisions

RICHARD BOURNE

The Commonwealth of Nations: Intergovernmental and Nongovernmental Strategies for the Protection of Human Rights in a Post-colonial Association

MILLENNIUM DEVELOPMENT GOALS

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL

Combating Exclusion: Why Human Rights Are Essential for the MDGs

VICTORIA TAULI-CORPUZ

Reflections on the Role of the United Nations Permanent Forum on Indigenous Issues in relation to the Millennium Development Goals

ALICIA ELY YAMIN

Toward Transformative Accountability: Applying a Rights-based Approach to Fulfill Maternal Health Obligations

SARAH ZAIDI

Millennium Development Goal 6 and the Right to Health: Conflictual or Complementary?

MARCOS A. ORELLANA

Climate Change and the Millennium Development Goals: The Right to Development, International Cooperation and the Clean Development Mechanism

CORPORATE ACCOUNTABILITY

LINDIWE KNUTSON

Aliens, Apartheid and US Courts: Is the Right of Apartheid Victims to Claim Reparations from Multinational Corporations at last Recognized?

PREVIOUS NUMBERS

Previous numbers are available at <www.surjournal.org>.

DAVID BILCHITZ
The Ruggie Framework: An Adequate Rubric for Corporate Human Rights Obligations?

SUR 13, v. 7, n. 13, Dec. 2010

GLENDA MEZAROBBA
Between Reparations, Half Truths and Impunity: The Difficult Break with the Legacy of the Dictatorship in Brazil

GERARDO ARCE ARCE
Armed Forces, Truth Commission and Transitional Justice in Peru

REGIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS MECHANISMS

FELIPE GONZÁLEZ
Urgent Measures in the Inter-American Human Rights System

JUAN CARLOS GUTIÉRREZ AND SILVANO CANTÚ
The Restriction of Military Jurisdiction in International Human Rights Protection Systems

DEBRA LONG AND LUKAS MUNTINGH
The Special Rapporteur on Prisons and Conditions of Detention in Africa and the Committee for the Prevention of Torture in Africa: The Potential for Synergy or Inertia?

LUCYLINE NKATHA MURUNGI AND JACQUI GALLINETTI
The Role of Sub-Regional Courts in the African Human Rights System

MAGNUS KILLANDER
Interpreting Regional Human Rights Treaties

ANTONIO M. CISNEROS DE ALENÇAR
Cooperation Between the Universal and Inter-American Human Rights Systems in the Framework of the Universal Periodic Review Mechanism

IN MEMORIAM

Kevin Boyle – Strong Link in the Chain
By Borislav Petranov

SUR 14, v. 8, n. 14, Jun. 2011

MAURICIO ALBARRACÍN CABALLERO
Social Movements and the Constitutional Court: Legal Recognition of the Rights of Same-Sex Couples in Colombia

DANIEL VÁZQUEZ AND DOMITILLE DELAPLACE
Public Policies from a Human Rights Perspective: A Developing Field

J. PAUL MARTIN
Human Rights Education in Communities Recovering from Major Social Crisis: Lessons for Haiti

THE RIGHTS OF PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES

LUIS FERNANDO ASTORGA GATJENS
Analysis of Article 33 of the UN Convention: The Critical Importance of National Implementation and Monitoring

LETÍCIA DE CAMPOS VELHO MARTEL
Reasonable Accommodation: The New Concept from an Inclusive Constitutional Perspective

MARTA SCHAAP
Negotiating Sexuality in the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

TOBIAS PIETER VAN REENEN AND HELENE COMBRINCK
The UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities in Africa: Progress after 5 Years

STELLA C. REICHER
Human Diversity and Asymmetries: A Reinterpretation of the Social Contract under the Capabilities Approach

PETER LUCAS
The Open Door: Five Foundational Films That Seeded the Representation of Human Rights for Persons with Disabilities

LUIS GALLEGOS CHIRIBOGA
Interview with Luis Gallegos Chiriboga, President (2002-2005) of the Ad Hoc Committee that Drew Up the Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities

SUR 15, v. 8, n. 15, Dec. 2011

ZIBA MIR-HOSSEINI
Criminalising Sexuality: *Zina* Laws as Violence Against Women in Muslim Contexts

LEANDRO MARTINS ZANITELLI
Corporations and Human Rights: The Debate Between Voluntarists and Obligationists and the Undermining Effect of Sanctions

INTERVIEW WITH DENISE DORA
Former Ford Foundation's Human Rights Officer in Brazil (2000-2011)

IMPLEMENTATION AT THE NATIONAL LEVEL OF THE DECISIONS OF THE REGIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEMS

MARIA ISSAeva, IRINA SERGEEVA AND MARIA SUCHKOVA
Enforcement of the Judgments of the European Court of Human Rights in Russia: Recent Developments and Current Challenges

CÁSSIA MARIA ROSATO AND LUDMILA CERQUEIRA CORREIA
The *Damião Ximenes Lopes* Case: Changes and Challenges Following the First Ruling Against Brazil in the Inter-American Court of Human Rights

DAMIÁN A. GONZÁLEZ-SALZBERG
The Implementation of Decisions from the Inter-American Court of Human Rights in Argentina: An Analysis of the Jurisprudential Swings of the Supreme Court

MARCIA NINA BERNARDES
Inter-American Human Rights System as a Transnational Public Sphere: Legal and Political Aspects of the Implementation of International Decisions

SPECIAL ISSUE: CONECTAS HUMAN RIGHTS - 10 YEARS

The Making of an International Organization from/in the South

SUR 16, v. 9, n. 16, Jun. 2012

PATRICIO GALELLA AND CARLOS ESPÓSITO
Extraordinary Renditions in the Fight Against Terrorism. Forced Disappearances?

BRIDGET CONLEY-ZILKIC
A Challenge to Those Working in the Field of Genocide Prevention and Response

MARTA RODRIGUEZ DE ASSIS MACHADO, JOSÉ RODRIGO RODRIGUEZ, FLAVIO MARQUES PROL, GABRIELA JUSTINO DA SILVA, MARINA ZANATA GANZAROLLI AND RENATA DO VALE ELIAS

Law Enforcement at Issue: Constitutionality of Maria da Penha Law in Brazilian Courts

SIMON M. WELDEHAIMANOT
The ACHPR in the Case of *Southern Cameroons*

ANDRÉ LUIZ SICILIANO
The Role of the Universalization of Human Rights and Migration in the Formation of a New Global Governance

CITIZEN SECURITY AND HUMAN RIGHTS

GINO COSTA
Citizen Security and Transnational Organized Crime in the Americas: Current Situation and Challenges in the Inter-American Arena

MANUEL TUFRÓ
Civic Participation, Democratic Security and Conflict Between Political Cultures. First Notes on an Experiment in the City of Buenos Aires

CELS
The Current Agenda of Security and Human Rights in Argentina. An Analysis by the Center for Legal and Social Studies (CELS)

PEDRO ABRAMOVAY
Drug policy and *The March of Folly*

Views on the Special Police Units for Neighborhood Pacification (UPPs) in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
Rafael Dias — Global Justice Researcher
José Marcelo Zacchi — Research Associate, Institute for Studies on Labor and Society — IETS

The work of the Carlos Chagas Foundation revolves around the principle of citizenship. Its specialities and lines of research are geared towards human and social development.

Research production at the FCC, which addresses the issues of policy evaluation, gender and race, consists of in-depth studies on the various levels of education.

In the Foundation's three publications – *Cadernos de Pesquisa* (Research Journals), *Estudos em Avaliação Educacional* (Educational Evaluation Studies) and *Textos FCC* (FCC Texts) – this academic production features alongside the work of researchers from other institutions, providing a diversified view of the issues in the field.

A REFERENCE IN EDUCATION WWW.FCC.ORG.BR



Fundação Carlos Chagas